

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

KARL W. SCHENKER,

Plaintiff,

v.

J. GARCIA, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:23-CV-00094-RCJ-CLB

**ORDER DENYING AS MOOT MOTION
FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND
GRANTING MOTION FOR RES
EXTINCTA ON ALL OUTSTANDING
CLAIMS**

[ECF Nos. 22, 23]

Before the Court are two motions filed by Plaintiff Karl W. Schenker: (1) motion for order to show cause; and (2) motion for “res extincta” on all outstanding claims. (ECF Nos. 22, 23, respectively.) The motion for order to show cause relates to a settlement agreement the parties entered into. (See ECF No. 22.) The motion for “res extincta” essentially states the motion for order to show cause is moot because the terms of the settlement have been met. (See ECF No. 23.)

In light of the above, **IT IS ORDERED** that the motion for “res extincta” (ECF No. 23), is **GRANTED** and the motion for order to show cause, (ECF No. 22), is **DENIED as moot**.

Further, **IT IS ORDERED** that the parties shall file a stipulation and proposed order of dismissal or status report regarding settlement by no later than **April 19, 2024**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 3, 2024.


UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE